



THE IMPACT OF SOCIOECONOMIC DISPARITIES ON ACCESS TO ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING RESOURCES AMONG SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN UZBEKISTAN

Gulnoza Ahmadqulova

English Language Teacher and Head of the Foreign Languages Methodological
Association, School No. 11, Guliston City Department of Preschool and School
Education, Sirdaryo Region, Uzbekistan

Abstract

This paper examines how socioeconomic disparities may influence secondary school students' access to English language learning resources in Uzbekistan. It aims to identify the pathways through which household income, parental educational background, geographical location, and school resources can shape students' opportunities to learn English beyond and within the classroom. The discussion considers access to textbooks, supplementary reading materials, digital devices, reliable internet connections, private tutoring, and opportunities for language practice. It also distinguishes between the availability of resources and students' ability to use them effectively.

The paper adopts a conceptual approach to explore the relationship between socioeconomic circumstances and educational access. Particular attention is given to the interaction between household and school conditions: students with limited resources at home may depend more heavily on school libraries, shared equipment, and teacher guidance. Digital materials may expand learning opportunities, but their accessibility depends on connectivity, device availability, digital literacy, and appropriate instructional support. These relationships are presented as issues requiring empirical investigation rather than as established findings about all schools in Uzbekistan.



The paper outlines possible institutional responses, including access to shared learning facilities, affordable supplementary materials, downloadable resources for offline use, and support for teachers working with students who have unequal access to technology. It concludes that equitable access should be assessed through the availability, affordability, usability, and continuity of learning resources. Further research using student surveys, school resource assessments, and interviews is needed to establish the extent of disparities and evaluate the effectiveness of targeted interventions.

Keywords: socioeconomic disparities, English language learning, educational equity, learning resources, secondary school students, Uzbekistan, digital access, household income.

Introduction

Access to English language learning resources is an important dimension of educational opportunity. For secondary school students, learning English involves not only classroom instruction but also opportunities to read, listen, practise, and receive feedback outside lessons. These opportunities depend partly on the materials, facilities, and support available at school and at home. Consequently, examining access requires attention to both the provision of resources and the circumstances that enable students to use them.

Socioeconomic disparities can shape access through several interconnected pathways. Household income may affect the affordability of supplementary textbooks, private tutoring, internet services, and digital devices. Parental educational background may influence the guidance students receive when selecting materials and organising independent study. Geographical location can also affect the availability of learning facilities and language practice opportunities. These factors should be examined together because a student may face several constraints simultaneously, while support from schools or communities may compensate for some disadvantages.



In the context of Uzbekistan, the relationship between household circumstances and school provision offers a relevant focus for investigation. Students who have limited learning materials at home may rely more heavily on classroom resources, school libraries, and teacher support. However, differences between individual schools cannot be assumed solely on the basis of their urban or rural location. A meaningful analysis should consider the actual availability of materials, their suitability for students' language levels, access arrangements, and the guidance provided for their use.

Digital resources add another dimension to this issue. Online lessons, electronic dictionaries, audio materials, and language learning applications can provide opportunities for independent practice. Their availability, however, does not necessarily ensure meaningful access. A student may share a device with family members, have an unstable internet connection, or lack a suitable place and time for study. Even when technological access is adequate, students may need teacher guidance to select reliable materials and connect independent activities with classroom learning. Digital access therefore includes practical usability and instructional support as well as equipment and connectivity.

It is also necessary to distinguish access to resources from learning outcomes. Having more materials does not automatically produce stronger English proficiency, since outcomes also depend on teaching quality, prior knowledge, motivation, and sustained engagement. Conversely, effective school support may help students make productive use of a limited set of resources. This distinction prevents socioeconomic circumstances from being treated as a fixed explanation of individual achievement and directs attention towards conditions that educational institutions can improve.

The purpose of this paper is to examine the potential influence of socioeconomic disparities on access to English language learning resources among secondary school students in Uzbekistan. It focuses on household income, parental educational background, geographical conditions, and school provision, while considering the



availability, affordability, suitability, and usability of resources. As a conceptual discussion, the paper does not present original survey findings or estimate the prevalence of particular disadvantages. Instead, it identifies relationships for further empirical investigation and outlines institutional approaches that could support more equitable learning opportunities.

Main Body

Socioeconomic disparities in access to English language learning resources should be understood as differences in students' opportunities to obtain, use, and benefit from educational materials and support. Access includes printed textbooks, graded readers, dictionaries, audio recordings, digital platforms, teacher feedback, and opportunities for interaction. These resources serve different purposes: reading materials provide exposure to vocabulary and language structures, audio materials support listening practice, and guided interaction creates opportunities to use English. A meaningful analysis therefore considers the range and suitability of resources rather than simply counting the materials available.

Household income and the affordability of learning resources

Household income may influence the resources that students can use beyond regular lessons. Supplementary books, private tutoring, mobile data, and personal devices involve expenses that families are able to meet to different degrees. Even when a resource is freely available online, accessing it may require payment for connectivity or the use of a device shared with other household members. The distinction between free content and affordable access is consequently important.

Financial constraints may also affect the continuity of learning. A student might occasionally access an online lesson but be unable to practise regularly because internet use is restricted or a device is unavailable. Similarly, possessing one textbook does not necessarily provide sufficient materials for independent reading at an appropriate level. For research in Uzbekistan, these possibilities should be investigated through



information about actual resource use and associated costs. Household income alone cannot establish which resources a student uses or how effectively they support learning.

Parental educational background and support for independent learning

Parental educational background represents another potential influence on access. Parents may help students organise study time, locate materials, understand assignments, and maintain learning routines. However, educational support should not be equated with parents' ability to speak English. Family members who do not know the language can still encourage regular practice, provide a suitable study environment, and communicate with teachers about learning needs.

Differences in parental knowledge of educational resources may affect how easily students identify suitable learning opportunities. Some families may be familiar with language courses and digital platforms, while others may need clearer information from schools. Teacher guidance can address this gap by recommending a manageable set of resources and explaining how to use them. Instructions should be understandable to students and families without requiring specialist knowledge or additional expenditure.

School provision and geographical conditions

School resources can compensate for limitations in students' home environments. Libraries, shared devices, printed supplementary materials, and supervised study opportunities may give students access to resources they cannot obtain independently. Their usefulness depends on practical arrangements, including opening hours, borrowing procedures, equipment maintenance, and the availability of instructional support. A collection of materials contributes little to access if students cannot borrow them or do not know which items match their proficiency.

Geographical conditions may influence access to tutoring, libraries, and language practice opportunities, but urban–rural differences should be examined rather than presumed. Schools within the same locality may differ in facilities, staffing, and access arrangements. Research should therefore assess individual school conditions and



students' experiences. Relevant questions include whether learning materials are available in sufficient quantities, whether students can use facilities outside lessons, and whether travel distance limits participation in additional activities.

Digital access and the usability of resources

Digital access involves more than ownership of a smartphone or computer. Device sharing, connection quality, data costs, accessibility features, and digital literacy can affect whether students complete learning activities independently. The suitability of content is equally important: materials may be too difficult, contain distracting advertising, or offer exercises that are poorly connected to classroom objectives.

Teachers can support meaningful access by selecting materials with clear instructions, appropriate language levels, and manageable technical requirements. Downloadable audio files, printable exercises, and resources that function offline are possible ways to accommodate inconsistent connectivity. Digital and printed alternatives should pursue comparable learning objectives so that students' opportunities are not determined by whether they can access a particular platform. These approaches require evaluation in the schools where they are introduced.

The interaction between socioeconomic conditions and classroom practice

Household and school circumstances interact with the design of learning tasks. An assignment requiring uninterrupted internet access, a personal device, or paid software may create unequal opportunities for completion. Teachers therefore need information about students' access before selecting the format of independent work. This information can be collected without publicly identifying students' financial circumstances.

Flexible task formats may help address differences in access. For example, a listening activity could be completed through a shared classroom recording or a downloadable file. A reading task could use borrowed printed texts alongside digital copies. Where participation requires resources unavailable at home, supervised school-



based completion may provide an alternative. The objective is to preserve the learning requirement while offering feasible ways to meet it.

Assessing disparities and identifying institutional priorities

An empirical study of this topic should combine student reports with an assessment of school provision. Student questionnaires could examine the availability of devices, frequency of internet access, resource-sharing arrangements, study conditions, and participation in additional English learning activities. School assessments could document materials, equipment, borrowing opportunities, and support for independent study. Interviews with teachers and students would help explain how these conditions influence everyday learning.

Access should be assessed through several dimensions: availability, affordability, suitability, usability, and continuity. A resource may be present but unsuitable for the student's proficiency, or affordable but difficult to use regularly. Examining these dimensions together would provide a stronger basis for identifying barriers than a single measure of device ownership or household income. Any relationship with English achievement would also require consideration of prior proficiency, teaching conditions, and other relevant factors.

Institutional priorities could include strengthening shared learning facilities, improving access to level-appropriate printed materials, providing clear guidance for independent study, and offering alternatives to activities that require continuous connectivity. The choice of intervention should follow an assessment of local needs. Its effectiveness should then be evaluated through changes in resource use, participation, and students' ability to complete learning activities. This approach treats equitable access as an ongoing responsibility involving schools, families, and educational institutions.

Conclusion



Socioeconomic disparities provide an important framework for examining unequal access to English language learning resources among secondary school students in Uzbekistan. Household income, parental educational background, geographical conditions, and school provision may influence the materials and support available to learners. These factors can interact: limited resources at home may increase a student's dependence on school facilities, while accessible materials and effective teacher guidance may help address some barriers. Their influence should therefore be investigated in relation to students' actual learning circumstances.

Equitable access involves more than the presence of textbooks or digital devices. Resources must also be affordable, appropriate to students' proficiency, practically usable, and available with sufficient continuity to support regular learning. Digital content illustrates this distinction particularly clearly. A freely available platform may remain difficult to use when students face data costs, device-sharing constraints, or unreliable connectivity. Printed materials likewise require suitable content, clear borrowing arrangements, and guidance for independent use.

Schools can respond to unequal access by assessing students' needs and incorporating feasible alternatives into classroom and independent learning activities. Shared facilities, level-appropriate reading materials, downloadable audio, and opportunities to complete tasks at school are possible responses. Flexible formats should maintain comparable learning objectives while allowing students to participate through the resources available to them. Support should be organised in ways that respect students' privacy and avoid publicly identifying their financial circumstances.

As a conceptual discussion, this paper does not establish the extent of socioeconomic disparities in Uzbekistan or demonstrate their causal effect on English proficiency. Further research should combine student surveys, school resource assessments, and interviews to identify specific barriers and examine how they affect participation. Evaluating targeted interventions would then help determine which forms



“ZAMONAVIY TA’LIM VA TILSHUNOSLIK RIVOJI”
nomli respublika ilmiy-amaliy masofaviy konferensiyasi
VOLUME-1, ISSUE-7, 2026

of support improve access in different school settings. Such evidence would provide a stronger basis for institutional decisions aimed at expanding meaningful English language learning opportunities.

References

1. UNESCO. (2023). *Global education monitoring report 2023: Technology in education—A tool on whose terms?* UNESCO. [Global Education Monitoring Report](#)
2. OECD. (2023). *PISA 2022 results (Volume I): The state of learning and equity in education*. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/53f23881-en> [OECD](#)
3. OECD. (2023). *PISA 2022 results (Volumes I and II)—Country notes: Uzbekistan*. OECD. [OECD](#)
4. van Dijk, J. (2020). *The digital divide*. Polity. [Wiley](#)
5. UNESCO. (2015). *Quality physical education: Policy guidelines—Methodology*. UNESCO. en.unesco.org